Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/724,300	VANDER WAL ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Michael I Poe	1732
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) Michael I Poe (Examiner).	(3)	
(2) John Lazarus (Applicant's attorney).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 27 May 2004	Time: <u>13:00</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No		
If Yes, provide a brief description: .		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None specifically		
Claims discussed: 1, 6, 10, 59 and 64		
Prior art documents discussed: None specifically		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
Milan Pa		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)

Application No. 09/724,300

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner called the applicant's attorney to discuss proposed amendments that were necessary to place the application in condition for allowance. With regard to independent claims 1, 6, 10, 59 and 64, the examiner stipulated that the independent claims needed to be amended to recite forming of tiles in the filling steps. Specifically, such an amendment was necessary to clarify the claims by clarifying the order of the steps in the process. The examiner further stipulated that the title needed to be changed to reflect the allowed invention (e.g., the method). The applicant's attorney approved the proposed amendments and authorized the examiner to proceed with the changes via Examiner's Amendment. Refer to the Examiner's Amendment for a complete listing of changes.